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• Mobile market growth with new technologies, software applications and services

• Innovation with standard-essential patents (SEPs) and other intellectual property (IP)

• Ecosystem wars: reward sharing and economic rent seeking by innovators and implementers with FRAND-based and other intellectual property licensing
Key Factors for Fixed and Mobile Internet Usage

1. Network speed
2. Network latency
3. Device processor performance
4. Device software including browsers
5. Display size, color, resolution and video performance
6. Other device issues: keyboard/input, power consumption
7. Diversity of applications and content online
8. Attractive pricing for users
9. Viable business models for suppliers
# Handset Evolution to 3G

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Motorola V60</td>
<td>Ericsson T68</td>
<td>Nokia 7210</td>
<td>BlackBerry 7230</td>
<td>Motorola RAZR V3</td>
<td>Apple iPhone 2G</td>
<td>Apple iPhone 4S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display(s)</td>
<td>Monochrome graphic 96 x 64 pixels Second: monochrome</td>
<td>1.7” STN 256 colors 101 x 80 pixels</td>
<td>1.5” CSTN 4,096 colors 128 x 128 pixels (121 ppi)</td>
<td>2.6” TFT reflective 65,000 colors 240 x 160 pixels (111 ppi)</td>
<td>2.2” TFT 256,000 colors: 176 x 220 pixels Second: CSTN 4,096 colors</td>
<td>3.5” TFT capacitive touchscreen 16,000,000 colors 320 x 480 pixels (165 ppi)</td>
<td>3.5” LED-backlit IPS TFT, capacitive touchscreen 16,000,000 colors 690 x 960 pixels (330 ppi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>2G GPRS 32-40 kbps</td>
<td>2G GPRS 24-36 kbps</td>
<td>2G GPRS 24-36 kbps</td>
<td>2G GPRS (&lt;56kbps)</td>
<td>2G GPRS (38-42 kbps)</td>
<td>2G EDGE (&lt;300kbps) WiFi</td>
<td>3G HSDPA 14.4 Mbps 3G HSUPA 5.8Mbps WiFi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Features</td>
<td>SMS, WAP 1.1 browser, games</td>
<td>SMS, MMS, Email, WAP 1.2.1</td>
<td>SMS, MMS, Email, WAP 1.2.1 browser, games</td>
<td>SMS, Email, BlackBerry HTML browser Qwerty keyboard</td>
<td>SMS, MMS, Email, WAP 2.0/xhtml browser Video player 0.3MP camera</td>
<td>SMS, Email, HTML Safari Video player 2MP camera 412 MHz CPU</td>
<td>SMS, Email, HTML Safari HD 1080p video @ 30 fps 8MP camera Dual core 1.1GHz CPU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 4G Devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduced</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model</strong></td>
<td>Motorola DROID 4</td>
<td>iPad 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display</td>
<td>4.00” TFT 16M colors 540 x 960 pixels (275ppi)</td>
<td>9.7” IPS LCD capacitive touchscreen 16M colors 2048 x 1536 pixels (264 ppi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processors</td>
<td>Dual core, 1.2GHz TI OMAP4430</td>
<td>Dual core, 1 GHz, Apple A5X and Quad core PowerVR SGX543MP4 for graphics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>LTE 700 MHz Class 13, CDMA EV-Do Rev A</td>
<td>LTE 700 MHz Class 17, 1700/2100 MHz HSDPA+ (42.2Mbps), UMTS, EDGE, GPRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Features</td>
<td>Android 2.3.5, HTML5, Flash, 8MP and 1.3MP cameras, accelerometer, gyroscope and barometer</td>
<td>iOS 5.1, HTML5, 5MP and 0.3MP cameras, accelerometer, gyroscope, compass and voice commands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mobile Technology Adoption Lifecycles – From Launch to Peak Demand

9 years between launches for leading mobile technology generations
16 years from initial commercial launch to peak volumes for leading technologies
Demand for established technologies continues in predominantly multi-mode devices
LTE Migration – Share of Network Spending

Tablet and Mobile versus Desktop Internet Use

Monthly Internet Page Views per Device, Normalized to iPhone*, 5/10

Relative Usage (Monthly Internet Page View per Device, Normalized to iPhone)

- Mac: 6.37x
- Windows: 3.65x
- Linux: 2.22x
- iPad: 2.07x
- iPhone: 1.00
- Android: 0.47x
- iPod Touch: 0.21x
- Symbian: 0.13x
- WinMo: 0.12x
- BlackBerry: 0.09x

Note: *We calculate usage as follows: market share of Internet page views by OS (per Net Applications) divided by market share of device installed base (desktop per Gartner, tablet & mobile per company data and our estimate) and then normalize it to iPhone’s level. Source: Company data, Gartner, Net Applications, Morgan Stanley Research.
### Average Time Spent per Day with Major Media by US Adults, 2008–2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(minutes )</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TV and Video</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspapers</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magazines</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>635</strong></td>
<td><strong>650</strong></td>
<td><strong>660</strong></td>
<td><strong>693</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Time spent with each medium includes all time spent with that medium, regardless of multitasking: for example, 1 hour of multitasking on the Internet and watching TV is counted as 1 hour for TV and 1 hour for Internet; numbers may not add up to total due to rounding.*

*Source: eMarketer, Dec 2011*
Total Global Traffic in Mobile Networks 2007–2011

Source: Ericsson Traffic and Market Data Report, 2012
Virtuous Circle of Innovation, Adoption and Usage with Mobile Phones

Source: WiseHarbor article in FierceWireless Europe, February 2012
## Smartphone IP is Everywhere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Functions</th>
<th>Implementation</th>
<th>Notable IP owners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radio</strong></td>
<td>Modem protocols including GSM, CDMA, HSPA, LTE</td>
<td>Dedicated silicon baseband processors running microcode or software defined radios on more general purpose processors</td>
<td>Ericsson, Nokia, Qualcomm, InterDigital, Motorola/Google, Samsung, LG (the list of claimants is growing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multimedia</strong></td>
<td>Speech vocoders, video recording/playing codecs, graphics engines</td>
<td>Dedicated silicon Graphics Processing Units with hardware acceleration or software acceleration</td>
<td>Various ICT companies. Patent pool administrator MPEG LA lists 29 licensors for the AVC/H.264 video standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating System</strong></td>
<td>The device’s management system and human interface</td>
<td>Software on general purpose applications processors with voice recognition, text-to-speech and innovative hardware such as touch-screen controllers</td>
<td>Google (Android*), Apple iOS, Windows Phone (Microsoft), Nokia (Symbian), RIM, WebOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Platform and User Interface</strong></td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Software that is typically obtained in the aftermarket</td>
<td>Numerous. Rovio’s Angry Birds is a popular game</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applications</strong></td>
<td>Various</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical design</strong></td>
<td>Aesthetic style, ergonomics</td>
<td>Hardware form factor and layout</td>
<td>Handset manufacturers. Apple is asserting its design IP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System design</strong></td>
<td>Apps stores, content delivery, service management, billing</td>
<td>External to device including network, service provisioning and third party content providers</td>
<td>Various, including Apple, Google and mobile operators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Open source software has nominally somewhat common ownership. However, it can be under significant control of its leading sponsor(s) while being fragmented with vendor-specific implementations (e.g., with Motorola’s proprietary Motoblur UI replacement, HTC’s Sense and Kindle’s Fire)*
Patented Innovation in U.S. Cellular

- 1994 - FCC begins auctioning new "Personal Communications Service" licenses
- 1993 - FCC adopts broad definition of "PCS" services, to promote flexibility & innovation
- 1990 - FCC initiates "PCS" proceeding, to explore new wireless licenses and services
- 1988 - FCC allows digital deployment as overlay to analog network
- 1987 - FCC adopts NPRM re alternate technologies

Sources: U.S. Patent Office, CTIA Research
Some Say “Strategic Patenting” is Problematic

“Which Technologies are Causing the Problem?”
(allegedly from patent “thickets” and “hold-up” with “sequential” and “complementary” innovation)

# Pharma is not Unique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>R&amp;D/Sales</th>
<th>Annual R&amp;D (millions)</th>
<th>Gross Profit Margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>CHF 8,266 ($8,640)</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfizer</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>$7,766</td>
<td>82.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novartis</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>$9,518</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merck</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>$7,834</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceutical Average</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>$9,811</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>$2,064</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>$4,523</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Hat</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>$220</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software average</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>77.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Google Finance

See “Why there are too many patents in America” by Judge Richard A. Posner, July 2012
Pharmaceutical Product Longevity*

Average Longevity (years)
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*“Time from first launch to peak sales”

Source: John Ansell Consultancy (2003)
Mobile Technology Adoption Lifecycles – From Launch to Peak Demand

- **1G**
  - TACS
  - AMPS
  - NMT

- **2G**
  - TDMA
  - PDC
  - cdmaOne
  - launch: 9 years
  - 16 years
  - peak sales volume

- **3G**
  - CDMA2000
  - WCDMA/HSPA
  - launch: 9 years
  - 16 years
  - peak sales volume

- **LTE**
  - WiMAX
  - LTE Advanced
  - forecasts
  - launch: 9 years
  - peak sales volume

9 years between launches for leading mobile technology generations

16 years from initial commercial launch to peak volumes for leading technologies

Demand for established technologies continues in predominantly multi-mode devices
Major FRAND Patent Licensing Successes

**Video Codecs**
- Widely used in DVDs, broadcast streams, PCs and smartphones
- 29 voluntary licensors and 1,000 licensees to H.264 patent pool
- Efficiently administered by pool supported by patent examiners
- Proprietary and open source software (eg, x.264) implementations
- Aggregate patent royalties averaging approximately $3 per device

**Mobile Phones**
- 5 billion phones in a $1 trillion market including services
- Prices down to $20 (unsubsidised)
- Most vibrant and innovative market with smartphone revolution
- Data speeds 1,000 faster in 10 years from 56kbps GPRS in 2000
- Hundreds of companies contribute to 3GPP and 3GPP2 standards
- 10 major standards releases by 3GPP and pace increases
- Aggregate royalty rates have declined
Royalties Increasingly Reasonable

Aggregate royalty rates based on total ownership expenses

- INTUG re GSM in 1992*
- CSFB re WCDMA, 2005*
- ABI re WCDMA, 2007
- Nokia WCDMA, 2007
- PA Consulting Group re GSM, 2005*
- Cap proposed by Alcatel-Lucent, Ericsson, Nokia, NEC et al*

* For companies with no IP to cross-license
## Average Cost of Ownership for Cellular

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2011 figures</th>
<th>US and Canada</th>
<th>Western Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average service revenue per user (per month)</td>
<td><strong>$50</strong></td>
<td>$32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service life (in months)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total operator services expenditures</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$1,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average unsubsidised wholesale phone price</td>
<td><strong>$207</strong></td>
<td><strong>$167</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lifecycle expenditures</td>
<td>$1,208</td>
<td>$1,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handset cost/total expenditures</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Average price per minute in U.S. has reduced 15% per annum since 1993
** Wholesale handset prices have reduced an average of 8% per annum since 1993
Competition: Herfindahl-Hirschman Index

• **Measures market share concentration**
  - The HHI is calculated by squaring the market share of each firm competing in a market, and then summing the resulting numbers.
  - The HHI number can range from close to zero to 10,000. The closer a market is to being a monopoly, the higher the market's concentration and the lower the level of competition.
  - If, for example, there were only one firm in a market, that firm would have 100% market share and the HHI would equal 10,000 (i.e., 100 x 100).
  - Alternatively, if there were thousands of firms competing, each with close to 0% market share, the HHI would be close to zero, representing near “perfect competition”

• **According to the U.S. Department of Justice:**
  - “[M]arkets in which the HHI is between 1,000 and 1,800 points are considered to be moderately concentrated, and those in which the HHI is in excess of 1,800 points are considered to be concentrated”
Competition: Market Supply is Unconcentrated

Herfindahl-Hirschman Index Market Share Concentration Tracking in Mobile

- Phone suppliers by volume share
- Phone suppliers by revenue share
- Smartphone OS suppliers by volume share
- Baseband Processor suppliers by volume share
## Increasing Choice

### Handset Manufacturers and Handset Models Offered, U.S., 2006-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Handset Manufacturers</th>
<th>2006 (Nov)</th>
<th>2007 (Nov)</th>
<th>2008 (Dec)</th>
<th>2009 (June)</th>
<th>2010 (June)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Number</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number Offering Ten or More Handset Models</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Handset Models Offered</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: U.S. Federal Communications Commission, 2011*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Vendor’s Own Estimate of its Essential LTE IPR</th>
<th>Expected Handset Royalty Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nokia</td>
<td>20-30%</td>
<td>1.5% <em>(2%</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nokia Siemens Networks</td>
<td>10-15%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ericsson^</td>
<td>20-25%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorola</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortel Networks</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcatel-Lucent</td>
<td></td>
<td>(\leq2)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualcomm</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huawei</td>
<td></td>
<td>(\leq1.5)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTE</td>
<td></td>
<td>(\leq1)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Multi-standard devices
^Projected maximum aggregate royalty of 6-8%
Two “Essentiality” Assessment Studies on LTE

• Fairfield Resources – counts patent families judged essential
  – “Fairfield Resources has for more than six years, with support from Nokia and other wireless industry leaders, been studying the extent to which patents declared as essential to wireless standards actually are essential, as determined by a team of experienced wireless engineers.
  – The present report, using substantially the same team of experts as in our previous studies, extends our reviews to patents declared as essential to two fourth generation cellular technologies, LTE (the radio access interface) and SAE (the core network)”

• Jefferies & Company – counts patents judged essential
  – “In valuing the essential LTE patent portfolios of major players in the wireless space, we utilized outside industry experts that included physics PhDs, wireless engineers, patent legal specialists, and former patent office employees.
  – Our work began by first screening tens of thousands of patents and then determined a level of essentiality based on individually examining over 1,400 patents related to LTE”
Families Judged Essential to LTE/SAE (105)

Source: Fairfield Resources Study, 2010
(Judged) Essential LTE Patents

Source: Jefferies & Co, September 2011
The Peril of Imposing Valuation Methods

Disagreement on LTE Essential Patent Determinations: Regression shows extremely weak correlation between two studies’ results \( (R^2=0.0008) \)

Nine companies including Motorola, Samsung, RIM and ZTE are absent because they were only registered as having essential patents in one of the two studies.
Some Conclusions

- **FRAND is good for consumers**: it fosters significant innovation, market growth and declining prices in very competitive markets

- **Regulated IPR rates or undermining patent protection would be a tourniquet to innovation incentives**

- **Patent pools should be voluntary and subject to various other antitrust/competition safeguards**

- **FRAND violations are commercial disputes that courts can resolve when parties cannot agree**

- **The system is working**: there is vibrant innovation in ICT with Standard Essential Patents and other IPRs
WiseHarbor helps its clients solve commercial problems with market analysis.
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